IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

TUESDAY, THE EIGHTEENTH DAY OF OCTOBER
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE SRI BILAL NAZKI, THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE

and
THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE R.SUBHASH REDDY

-

WRIT PETITION NO : 22325 of 2005+

Between:

1 M.Satish Kumar, S/o.M.Madhav Rao,
R/o.H.No.17-7-511, Brahmanwadi,

Yakutpura, Hyderabad, and 2 others.

..... PETITIONERS

AND

1 High Court of Andhra Pradesh at Hyderabad,

Rep by its Registrar General, High Court Buildings,
Hyderabad, and another.

.....RESPONDENTS

ORDER: **(Per Hon'ble Sri Bilal Nazki, The Acting Chief Justice)**

Petitioners claim to be law graduates and claim that they are entitled to be narrated as Advocates, and had they been enrolled as Advocates, they would have been eligible to be candidates for the posts of Junior Civil Judges, which have been advertised by respondent No.1.

The case of the petitioners is that their result was declared on 10th of October, 2005, and last date fixed by the High Court for receiving the applications from candidates for the posts of Junior Civil Judges was 15-10-2005. Between 10th and 15th of October, 2005, petitioners could not get themselves enrolled on account of public holidays. Therefore, it is submitted that it was not their fault and they were not enrolled by the Bar council because of holidays from 10th to 15th of October, 2005. Hence, the notifications issued by the High Court should be quashed or in the alternative petitioners' applications should be allowed to be accepted.

The fact remains that the cut-off date for filing of applications by the eligible candidates was 15th of October, 2005, which has expired. Petitioners are still not enrolled, and even as on today, they are not eligible to be candidates for the posts of Junior Civil Judges.

Therefore, in our view, this writ petition is misconceived, and is, accordingly, dismissed. No costs.

(Bilal Nazki, A.C.J)

18th October, 2005

(R.Subhash Reddy, J)

LUR